IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition NO. 5226 of 2005

Navyug Vidyapeeth Trust & Anr. ... Petitioners

vs.

The State of Maharashtra & anr. .. ... Respondent

S. M. Gorwadkar with L. R. Indorkee
i/b. Satupte & Co., for Petitioner

Deepa Sawant i/b. C.G.Gavnekar for Respondent no.3
S.R. Nargolkar for Respondent nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM: **F. I. REBELLO & ROSHAN DALVI JJ.**
Date: 16/11/2005

P.C.

Rule.

Heard forthwith.

The limited relief that the Petitioner seeks is that his representation which is pending before Respondent no.2 be disposed of.

The Petitioners aggrieved by the fact that they have not been released the grant-in-aid, have approached this court by filing this petition. It is the case of the Respondent s that the Petitioner is not filing the roster. This court in

various judgments have taken the view that in terms of MEPS Rules, reservation is 34%. This petition can be disposed of by issuing the following directions:

1] The Respondent no.2 to consider whether the Petitioners have followed reservation in terms of the MEPS Rules, which has been held by this court as 34%.

2] If so complied the Respondent no.2 , within a period of 8 weeks, to release the salary grant-in-aid to which the Petitioners are entitled to.

3] Respondent no. 2, before deciding the issue to hear the Petitioners.

Rule made absolute accordingly, with no order as to costs.

(F. I. REBELLO J.)

(ROSHAN DALVI J.)